

### POLICY FOR ACCEPTANCE OF CONSERVATION AND OTHER LAND AND WATER PROTECTION ARRANGEMENTS

Approved by the Board of Directors August 15, 2015 Revised, January 6, 2017

The Board of Directors of the Geneva Lake Conservancy ("GLC") has established this policy, for acceptance of conservation easements and other land protection arrangements (collectively, "Projects"). This policy guides, rather than limits, GLC's evaluation of any proposed acquisition by it of any proposed new conservation easement or other involvement in a land or a water protection project. The Board retains discretion over Project selection and will evaluate each proposed Project on its own merits, using careful evaluation of the property, with respect to both potential public benefit from the Project and GLC resources.

## I. GLC PROCEDURES FOR EVALUATION OF CONSERVATION EASEMENTS AND OTHER LAND AND WATER PROTECTION ARRANGEMENTS

This policy shall be interpreted and applied in a manner consistent with the following procedures:

- The Board of Directors of GLC will review and act on every proposed Project according to the provisions of this Policy and will cause to be documented its consideration of this policy for each proposed Project.
- 2. GLC Staff will prepare a written proposal for each Project ("Project Proposal"), outlining the process of its acquisition and containing its estimate of the costs that each counter-party to the Project can expect to incur, in the preparation of the Project documentation. The Project Proposal will include a plan for contribution to both the Stewardship Fund and the Legal Defense Fund of GLC and must be signed by the other

- party or parties, prior to the commencement by GLC of its involvement in more than negotiation of the Project.
- 3. GLC Staff will include, within each Project Proposal, a request and supporting information designed to encourage each counter-party to allow reasonable public access or educational opportunities, or both, within the Project's site, the details of which will be included within the terms of any recorded instrument relating to the Project. In addition, the Project Proposal will inform each counter-party of all aspects of the Project documentation and the requirement that GLC is to defend and uphold at least those of its rights that are provided for in the Project documentation.
- 4. Prior to acceptance by GLC of a Project, GLC will inform each counterparty, in writing, of the requirement that GLC discourage any amendment to the Project documentation, as is more fully described in GLC's policy for an amendment to Project documentation, hereinafter; that Project documentation may be amended only for an extenuating and compelling reason and, then, only when there will be no net loss of the conservation value that the Project was designed to protect.
- 5. Prior to acceptance of a Project, GLC will determine and document whether any proposed reserved rights allowed to any counter-party or other person by the Project documentation have a potential of interfering with, or of having an adverse impact on, the conservation value that Project was designed to protect.
- 6. GLC recognizes that land protection may be achieved in a variety of ways other than its acquisition of a Project, that land protection may be accomplished through cooperation with other organizations and institutions, and GLC will actively pursue such opportunities, both before and after the closing of the Project, to the extent consistent with this policy and the following criteria.

#### II. GLC REQUIREMENTS FOR ALL SUCH PROJECTS

In order that a Project will qualify for GLC acceptance, the Board of Directors of GLC must find that it meets *all* of the following four requirements:

- Protect land or water that has significant conservation values that are consistent with the then Mission Statement of GLC.
- Protect land or water located within GLC's Service Area, which includes
  the Project Focus Areas approved by the Board of Directors in November,
  2016 as part of its 10-Year Comprehensive Plan. Unusual circumstances
  may warrant acquisition by GLC of a Project outside the Project Focus
  Areas, so defined.
- Protect land or water, for which the undertaking by GLC of monitoring, management and enforcement can be met by GLC, logistically, legally and financially, and for which each counter-party has fulfilled all of obligations undertaken.
- 4. Protect only land or water that is of sufficient magnitude or other significance, that its conservation value is likely to remain intact, even if adjacent land is developed, or sufficient neighboring land or water is either protected or likely to be, protected, so as to have the same result.

#### III. GLC SELECTION CRITERIA

Before accepting a Project for protection, GLC will determine that it meets at least one of the following criteria, in addition to all of the applicable IRS criteria defined in Sec. 170(h)(4)(A) of the IRS Code:

- Land or water that offers significant relief from urban and suburban encroachment and which improves the environmental character of the vicinity of the Project.
- Land or water that provides scenic views from public roads or trail corridors.
- 3. Land or water that provides public recreational or educational opportunities consistent with the conservation value of the property.

- 4. Land or water that provides a buffer zone or is adjacent to or in close proximity to an existing protected area.
- Land or water that is important for the preservation of the quality and quantity of surface or underground waters, or for providing the control of flooding.
- 6. Land or water that contains habitat for plant or animal species classified by the State or Federal government as rare, endangered or threatened.
- 7. Land or water that contains exemplary or critical habitat for plant or animal species not classified as rare, endangered or threatened.
- 8. Land or water that is free from hazardous waste or other contamination that could expose GLC to liability.
- 9. Land or water that has physical access, for inspection, and will not present difficulty, in monitoring compliance with GLC's undertakings.
- Land or water that is located within a Natural Area, as then defined by SEWRPC.
- 11. Land or water that is located within a Primary Environmental Corridor, as defined by the Walworth County Land Use Plan then in effect.
- 12. Land or water that is located in "Prime Agricultural Land", as defined by the Walworth County Land Use Plan then in effect.
- 13. Land or water identified for protection in the Walworth County Park and Open Space Plan then in effect.

#### IV. GLC REJECTION CRITERIA

Even if a proposed Project meets all of GLC's requirements set forth in Section II, and at least one of the Selection Criteria set forth in Section III, the Board of Directors of GLC has the discretion to decide that any or all of the following criteria may be relevant and may constitute grounds sufficient for rejecting a proposed Project:

 The Project primarily benefits a single landowner or developer and is not part of larger strategy to accumulate a number of like properties whose protection will yield a greater benefit consistent with the Conservancy's mission.

- 2. The Project undertaking by GLC may be unusually difficult to enforce, because of special circumstances, such as multiple or fractional ownership, irregular configuration or inconvenient location.
- 3. Is the vicinity of the Project capable of development i.e., will GLC's participation "save" anything?
- 4. Adjacent land is being, or is likely to be, developed in a manner that would significantly diminish the conservation values of the property in question.
- 5. The counter-party requires a provision in Project documentation that, in the judgment of GLC, seriously diminishes the Project's conservation value or the ability of GLC to enforce its rights in the Project documentation.
- 6. The Stewardship obligation to be assumed by GLC for the Project is likely to exceed the capacity of GLC for Stewardship.
- 7. The capacity of GLC is likely to be used to lessen or absolve a person or entity, other than GLC, from mandated clean-up obligations.
- 8. An environmental physical hazard associated with the Project is poses a liability risk to GLC.
- 9. An ethical or public image problem for GLC can be expected from acceptance by GLC of the Project.
- 10. The Project has a defect in title.
- 11. An encroachment, an easement or a boundary dispute exists that could adversely affect the ability of GLC to meet its stewardship obligations for the Project.
- 12. The interests of the general public suggest that the Project should considered by another organization or governmental entity.

#### V. OBLIGATIONS OF THE COUNTER-PARTY

Absent compelling circumstances warranting an exception, GLC's acceptance of a Project is contingent upon the following:

- 1. As some conservation easements qualify as "qualified conservation contributions" under Section 170(h) of the Internal Revenue Code, a federal income tax deduction may be available to the counter-party. Such a deduction is not available if a donor lacks "donative intent" that is, the counter-party is making the donation because of requirement that it be done (for example, pursuant to a condition in a subdivision approval or other permit), or in cases where the counter-party is receiving some consideration, in return for transferring the easement. Each counterparty shall consult professional advisers of its own selection. No person is authorized to make any representation, on behalf of GLC, regarding the availability or amount of any such deduction. If a counter-party intends to seek a tax deduction, appraisals of the property, pre (within 6 months of signing) and post Project must be obtained and submitted to GLC prior to the signing of the Project documentation.
- 2. As part of a closing of the acceptance by GLC of any Project, the counterparty is required to sign a written commitment, (a) acknowledging that neither GLC, nor any person acting on its behalf, has made any representation about the tax implications of the proposed donation, (b) representing that the counter-party is not, as of the date of the closing, required to act under any condition to subdivision approval or other permit, and (c) agreeing that the counter-party will not claim a tax deduction or credit in respect of the Project unless, consulted a competent adviser, the counter-party believes in good faith that the counter-party is entitled to the deduction or credit. In any case in which the counter-party will not seek a deduction or credit, in connection to the Project, a written undertaking, only to that effect, may be substituted.
- 3. Treasury Regulation Section 1.170A-14(g)(2) requires that any lien existing at the time of the closing of a acquisition by the recipient of a conservation easement shall be subordinated to the conservation

easement. GLC will ask for a copy of the owner's current title report and undertake a last owner and lien search by a licensed title examiner. If a lien encumbers the property, the counter-party must obtain from any lien holder a signed subordination agreement subordinating the lien right to the right of GLC to enforce the conservation purposes of the Project.

- 4. In order to avoid imposing financial obligations upon GLC not contemplated by it, each counter-party must agree that the counter-party retains financial responsibility for:
  - a. Costs incurred by GLC arising from its consideration of and response to any future request the counter-party makes to GLC for the modification of Project documentation, including but not limited to the cost of GLC staff time, use of other GLC resources, and attorneys and/or consultant fees that GLC reasonably incurs, as a result of the request; and
  - b. Costs incurred by GLC arising from a successful enforcement action by GLC against a counter-party, or a counter-party's successor in interest, of agreement terms or conditions, including, but not limited to attorneys' and/or consultant's fees that GLC incurs, as a result of such enforcement against the counter-party.

\* \* \*

# WORK SHEET FOR EVALUATION OF POTENTIAL LAND PROTECTION PROJECTS

The Geneva Lakes Conservancy	("GLC") is considering a poten	tial conservation	
protection project for property located at	("the Project").	Details	
of the GLC's consideration of the Projec	t are set forth below:		
PROJECT SUMMARY			
Project/Property Name:			
Date of Initial Contact with Landowner:			
Staff Member Handling Initial Request:			
How contact was initiated:	Landowner contacted GLC		
	GLC contacted landowner		
	Other		
Landowner Information			
Name:			
Address:			
City/State/Zip			
Phone:			
Property Location			
Township/Municipality			

Tax ID Number
Address:
Plat Map Page No.:
Legal Description
ACRES OF PROPERTY:
Is property located within a GLC Project Focus Area:
Hackmatack
Ivanhoe Lake Area
Lakes Watershed Areas
Kettle Moraine State Forest Area
Sugar Creek Valley Area
Turtle Creek Area
White River Corridor
If not, why should this property be considered by the board of directors:
Likely Land Protection Tool:Conservation Easement donationLand purchase
Conservation easement purchaseLand donationPurchase at bargain sale
Other, please explain

I.	Wi	th respect to mandatory criteria set forth in Section II of the GLC's Policy or
	Ac	ceptance of Conservation and Other Land and Water Protection
	Ar	rangements, the GLC has determined that:
	A.	The Project protects land or water that has significant conservation values
		that are consistent with the current Mission Statement of GLC.
		YesNo
	В.	The Project will protect land or water located within GLC's Project Focus
		Areas:
		YesNo
	C.	The Project will protect land or water, for which the undertaking by GLC or
		monitoring, management and enforcement can be met by GLC, logistically
		legally and financially, and for which each counter-party has fulfilled all o
		objections undertaken.
		Yes No
	D.	The Project will protect only land or water that is of sufficient magnitude or
		other significance that its conservation value is likely to remain intact, even in
		adjacent land is developed, or sufficient neighboring land or water is either
		protected or likely to be, protected, so as to have the same result.
		YesNo

II.	Wi	th respect to optional criteria set forth in Section III of the GLC's Policy on
	Ac	ceptance of Conservation and Other Land and Water Protection
	Arı	rangements, the GLC has determined that:
	A.	The Project will protect land or water that offers significant relief from urban
		and suburban encroachment and which improves the environmental
		character of the vicinity of the Project.
		Yes No TBD
	В.	The Project will protect land or water that provides scenic views from public
		roads or trail corridors.
		Yes No TBD
	C.	The Project will protect land or water that provides public recreational or
		educational opportunities consistent with the conservation value of the
		property.
		Yes No TBD
	D.	The Project will protect land or water that provides a buffer zone or is
		adjacent to or in close proximity to an existing protected area.
		Yes No TBD
	E.	The Project will protect the land or water that is important for the
		preservation of the quality and quantity of surface or underground waters, or
		for providing the control of flooding.
		Yes No TBD

F.	The Project will protect the land or water that contains habitat for plant or
	animal species classified by the State or Federal government as rare,
	endangered or threatened.
	YesNoTBD
G.	The Project will protect land or water that contains exemplary or critical
	habitat for plant or animal species not classified as rare, endangered or
	threatened.
	YesNoTBD
Н.	The Project will protect land or water that is free from hazardous waste or
	other contamination that could expose GLC to liability.
	Yes No TBD
l.	The Project will protect land or water that has physical access, for inspection,
	and will not present difficulty, in monitoring compliance with GLC's
	undertakings.
	Yes No TBD
J.	The Project will protect land or water that is located within a Natural Area, as
	then defined by SEWRPC.
	Yes No TBD
K.	The Project will protect land or water that is located within a Primary
	Environmental Corridor, as defined by the Walworth County Land Use Plan
	then in effect.
	Yes No TBD

	L.	The	Proje	ct will p	rotect	land o	r wa	ter tha	it is lo	ocate	d in	"Prime	Agric	ultural
		Land	d", as d	defined	by the	Walwo	rth (	County	Land	Use F	Plan t	hen in e	effect.	•
		-		Yes			_No				_ TBC	)		
	M.	The	Proje	ct will	prote	ct land	or	water	iden	tified	for	protec	tion i	in the
		Wal	worth	County	Park a	nd Ope	n Sp	ace Pla	n the	n in e	effect			
		-		Yes			No				_ TB[	)		
III.	W	ith re	spect	to pote	ntial g	rounds	for	GLC Re	ejectio	on of	the	Project	set fo	orth in
	Se	ction	IV of	the GL	C's Pol	icy on	Acce	ptance	of C	onse	rvatio	on and	Othe	r Land
	an	d Wa	ter Pr	otection	n Arrar	igemen	ts, tl	he GLC	has d	leteri	mine	d that:		
	A.	The	Projec	ct prima	rily be	nefits a	sing	le land	owne	rord	level	per an	d is no	ot part
		of I	arger	strateg	gy to	accumi	ulate	a nu	ımbeı	r of	like	proper	ties	whose
		prot	ection	will y	ield a	greate	r be	nefit c	onsist	tent	with	the Co	nserv	ancy's
		miss	sion.											
		-		Yes			_No				_ TBD	)		
	В.	The	Projec	ct is unu	sually	difficult	to e	nforce.						
		-		Yes			No				_TBD	)		
		]	If yes,	the diff	iculty a	arises fr	om t	he follo	owing	g circ	umsta	ances:		
		<u>-</u>	1. The	e vicinit	y of th	e Proje	ct is	capabl	e of o	devel	opme	ent – i.e	e., will	GLC's
			pa	rticipati	on "sav	ve" any	thing	<b>ξ</b> ?						
				Yes			_No				_TBD	)		

۷.	Adjacent land is being,	or is likely to be,	developed in a manner that
	would significantly dimi	inish the conservat	cion values of the Project.
	Yes	_ No	TBD
3.	The counter-party requ	ires a provision in	Project documentation that,
	in the judgment of	GLC, seriously	diminishes the Project's
	conservation value or	the ability of GLC	to enforce its rights in the
	Project documentation		
	Yes	_ No	TBD
4.	The Stewardship obliga	ation to be assume	ed by GLC for the Project is
	likely to exceed the cap	acity of GLC for Ste	ewardship.
	Yes	_ No	TBD
5.	The capacity of GLC is l	ikely to be used to	o lessen or absolve a person
	or entity, other than GL	.C, from mandated	clean-up obligations.
	Yes	_ No	TBD
6.	An environmental phys	ical hazard associa	ted with the Project poses a
	liability risk to GLC.		
	Yes	_ No	TBD
7.	An ethical or public in	nage problem for	GLC can be expected from
	acceptance by GLC of th	ne Project.	
	Yes	_ No	TBD
8.	The Project has a defec	t in title.	
	Yes	_ No	TBD

	9.	An encroachme	nt, an easement	or a boundary di	spute exists that	
		could adversely	affect the ability	y of GLC to mee	t its stewardship	
		obligations for th	ne Project.			
		Yes	No	TBD		
	10.	The interests of	the general public	suggest that the	Project should be	
		considered by ar	other organizatio	n or governmenta	l entity.	
		Yes	No	TBD		
IV.	Following	are special circu	mstances to be	considered in con	nection with the	
	potential <sub>l</sub>	oroject:				
V.	FINANCIA	L ASSESSMENT				
Does this pro	ject involve	e a donation or p	artial donation b	y the landowner?		
Does the proj	ject involve	a GLC purchase	e?			
If yes, what is the estimated purchase price?						
What is the f	unding stat	tus for this projec	et?			
Other than a	possible pu	ırchase price, wh	at are the estima	ated costs to the G	LC to complete	
this project?						
Appraisal						
Survey						
Legal fees						
Title Insuran	ce					
Transfer fee						
Closing fee						
Staff time						

Baseline doc
Stewardship
Legal defense
Has a detailed financial spreadsheet been created for land stewardship for this project? YesNo
If yes, where is the spreadsheet located?
Has the landowner been notified of the potential conservation stewardship, legal defense
and other costs associated with this project?
If yes, please attach notification letter
Dated:, 20
By: Authorized GLC Representative
This information was reviewed and the project opportunity was approved rejected by the GLC LPC COMMITTEE on, 20
This information was reviewed and the project was approved rejected by the GLC Board of Directors on, 20
Recordkeeping and Due Diligence:
What is the permanent name assigned to this project Where are the hard files for this project stored: Where are the e-files for this project stored
Reminder: It is imperative that staff follow the Geneva Lakes Conservancy's Records Retention Policy as it relates to this project.